

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,913	06/24/2003	Kenichi Hashizume	852.0029.U1(US)	4355
29683 7590 03/26/2007 HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC			EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE		CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
				•
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	03/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/603,913	HASHIZUME ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rick K. Chang	3726			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 and 33-35 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 and 33-35 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/12/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sullivan et al (US 7,181,172).

Sullivan discloses forming a cellular phone 800 using two shot molding wherein molds the base and contact plating directly into the back panel (col. 3, lines 54-55; col. 4, lines 1-40; and Fig. 7). Col. 3, lines 36-55 discloses metallic materials such as circuitry such as the electrical supply for the call vibrator, antenna transmission lines, detection circuitry; it is inherent that most commonly used plating method is either electroless or electroplating; it is inherent that during the assembly of a mobile phone electronic components are connected to the call vibrator, antenna transmission lines, detection circuitry.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/603,913

Art Unit: 3726

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al (US 7,181,172) in view of Politycki et al (US 3,767,538).

Sullivan fails to disclose treating the plastic material with a seeding or conductive metal.

Politycki discloses treating the plastic material with a seeding or conductive metal (Abstrate).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sullivan by treating the plastic material with a seeding or conductive metal, as taught by Politycki, for the purpose of enhancing adhesion between a resin layer and a metal layer.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al (US 7,181,172)/Politycki et al (US 3,767,538) as applied to claims 12-13 and 15 above, and further in view of Murakami et al (US 4,239,813).

Sullivan/Politycki fail to disclose that the carrier material comprises an ink and printing the carrier material on the substrate.

Murakami discloses the carrier material comprises an ink and printing the carrier material on the substrate (col. 1, lines 33-39).

Art Unit: 3726

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sullivan/Politycki by the carrier material comprises an ink and printing the carrier material on the substrate, as taught by Murakami, for the purpose of enhancing adhesion between a resin layer and a metal layer.

7. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al (US 7,181,172)/Politycki et al (US 3,767,538) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Nishihara et al (US 5,118,458).

Sullivan/Politycki fail to disclose press moulding the substrate, moulding the connector onto the cover member after the substrate has been moulded to form the cover member, providing a flexible holding member in the connector structure to hold the electronic component in electrical communication with the electrical circuitry and a resilient member for the flexible holding member.

Nishihara discloses press moulding (Fig. 5 shows 2 pressing onto 1 with substrate therebetween), moulding the connector (Fig. 7 element 16) onto the cover member (Fig. 7 shows a second layer) after the substrate has been moulded to form the cover member, providing a flexible holding member in the connector structure to hold the electronic component in electrical communication with the electrical circuitry and a resilient member for the flexible holding member (Fig. 13 shows interconnecting the layers as a second molding, Fig. 15 shows mounting components, all the layers are flexible and resilient member, the layers can be any number depending on the design criteria).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sullivan/Politycki by press moulding the substrate, moulding the connector

Art Unit: 3726

onto the cover member after the substrate has been moulded to form the cover member, providing a flexible holding member in the connector structure to hold the electronic component in electrical communication with the electrical circuitry and a resilient member for the flexible holding member, as taught by Nishihara, for the purpose of molding a multi-layer flexible circuit for mobile phones.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims as shown above have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC March 12, 2007